



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,985	1	0/07/2003	Tomohiro Ono	89277.0008	6482
26021	7590 10/05/2005			EXAMINER	
HOGAN &			AVERY, BRIDGET D		
SUITE 1900		IOL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90071-2611	3618	-	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	he_			
	400	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		10/680,985	ONO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Bridget Avery	3618	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress
A SH WHII - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAtensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO acause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Octoors</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Experience.	action is non-final.	·	e merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,8,10,11 and 20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>3-6,9 and 12-19</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·	
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ drawing(s) be held in abeyonion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/07/03.	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)

U

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed by applicant on October 7, 2003 is acknowledged and has been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lyons et al. (US Patent 5,941,328).

Lyons teaches an electric vehicle including:

- A first controller that controls a motor.
- A chargeable battery supplying electric power to the motor
- A second controller connected to the battery that controls electric charge for the battery and electric discharge from the battery
- A first communication path that communicates between the first controller and the second controller
- Each controller including a mutual actuating unit

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

3. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyons et al. (US Patent 5,941,328).

Lyons et al. teasches at least one communication path (31, 32, 630, 819, 824, 854).

Lyons et al. is silent regarding whether the path is wired or wireless.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include either a wired path or a wireless path, since applicants has not disclosed that one or the other solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with a wireless path or a wired path.

4. Claims 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyons et al. (US Patent 5,941,328).

Lyons et al. teasches the features described above.

Lyons lacks the teaching of a power source control circuit (with main switches 38, 40) that turns on and off the power source.

Nonobe teaches a power source control circuit.

Art Unit: 3618

Based on the teachings of Nonobe, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add a power source control circuit to effectively control the driving state of the elements of the power supply system.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-6, 9 and 12-19 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Komiyama et al. shows a drive force control for hybrid electric vehicle.

Zhang et al. shows a method and system for controlling power distribution in a hybrid fuel cell vehicle.

Hunt shows a method and system for controlling power distribution in a hybrid fuel cell vehicle.

Yakes et al. shows A/C bus assembly for electronic traction vehicle.

Yang shows a storage battery auxiliary charging system with surveillance functions.

Kitada shows an electric hybrid vehicle.

Simmons et al. shows a wheelchair-mounted control apparatus.

Art Unit: 3618

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

Avery

October 3, 2005

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600